

NovoLiq User's Manual

Table of Contents

1. About.....	3
2. References.....	4
3. License Agreement	5
4. Contents	7
4.1 Introduction.....	7
4.2 Changing Units System	7
4.3 Data Entry.....	7
4.4 Loading Effect (Optional).....	10
4.5 Schematic Soil Profile	11
4.6 Seismic Info	11
4.7 Working with Charts.....	14
4.8 Analysis Results	15
4.9 Reporting.....	16
4.10 Import / Export.....	16
4.10.1 Import from Text Files	16
4.10.2 Import from gINT Files.....	17
4.10.3 Export to Graphic Format	17
4.11 Preferences	18
5. Theory	21
5.1 Introduction.....	21
5.2 Cyclic Stress Ratio (CSR).....	22
5.3 Stress Reduction Factor (rd)	22
5.4 Magnitude Scaling Factor (MSF)	23
5.5 Structure Loading Effect	23
5.6 Probability of Liquefaction	24
5.7 Cyclic Resistance Ratio.....	25
5.7.1 SPT-Based CRR	25
5.7.2 BDT-Based CRR	29
5.7.3 Vs-Based CRR.....	30
5.8 Post-Liquefaction.....	33
5.8.1 Lateral Displacements	33

5.8.2 Reconsolidation Settlement	36
5.8.3 How depth interval is calculated?.....	37
6. Online	40
6.1 Novo Tech Software website	40
6.2 Our other programs.....	40
6.3 Updates	40
6.4 Contact us.....	40

1. About

Software :  **NovoLIQ**

First Release : September 2009

Licensing Model : [License File](#), [On-demand](#)

Production Team : Programming: [Alireza Afkhami](#) (M.A.Sc, M.C.P, P.Eng)

Help Translation to Spanish: [Ricardo Segovia](#) (B.Sc)

Similar Program : [NovoCPT](#)

Updates : [click to open](#)

Disclaimer : [click to read](#)(See 3.)

NovoLiq software is designed for soil liquefaction analysis during earthquake and supports multi-layer as well as single layer stratigraphy. The results of the analysis are presented as:

- Safety Factor Against Soil Liquefaction
- Probability of Soil Liquefaction
- Post-liquefaction Site Condition Including:
 - Ground Settlement
 - Lateral Movement (Spreading)
 - Residual Strength

Please keep your software up-to-date by visiting the program's [web page](#).

Although all efforts have been undertaken to ensure that this software is of the highest possible quality and that the results obtained are correct, the authors do not warrant the functions contained in the program will meet your requirements or that the operation of the program will be uninterrupted or error-free. The authors are not responsible and assume no liability for any results or any use made thereof, nor for any damages or litigation that may result from the use of the software for any purpose. All results to be verified independently by user.



4188 Hoskins Road,
North Vancouver, BC, Canada

2. References

- 1- NCEER Workshop Report (1997)
- 2- Geotechnical Design Guidelines for Buildings on Liquefiable Sites in Accordance with NBC 2005 for Greater Vancouver Region, Canada, 2007
- 3- [Estimating Liquefaction-Induced Lateral Displacements Using the Standard Penetration Test or Cone Penetration Test](#)
G. Zhang; P. K. Robertson, M.ASCE; and R. W. I. Brachman, 2004
- 4- State Normalization of Penetration Resistance and the Effect of Overburden Stress on Liquefaction Resistance
R. W. Boulanger, I. M. Idriss, 2004
- 5- A Liquefaction Evaluation Procedure Based on Shear Wave Velocity
Ronald D. Andrus, Kenneth H. Stokoe
- 6- Earthquake-Induced Settlements in Saturated Sandy Soils
C. Y. Lee, 2007
- 7- [Standard Penetration Test-Based Probabilistic and Deterministic Assessment of Seismic Soil Liquefaction Potential](#)
K. Onder Cetin, M.ASCE; Raymond B. Seed, M.ASCE; Armen Der Kiureghian, M.ASCE; Kohji Tokimatsu; Leslie F. Harder Jr., M.ASCE; Robert E. Kayen, M.ASCE ; and Robert E. S. Moss, M.ASCE
- 8- Soil Liquefaction During Earthquake
I.M. Idriss, R.W. Boulanger
- 9- [Revised Multilinear Regression Equations for Prediction of Lateral Spread Displacement](#)
T. Leslie Youd, Corbett M. Hansen, Steven F. Bartlett
- 10- [Guidelines on Foundation Loading and Deformation Due to Liquefaction Induced Lateral Spreading](#)
DOT (February 2011)

3. License Agreement

PLEASE READ THIS END USER LICENSE AGREEMENT ("EULA") CAREFULLY BEFORE DOWNLOADING OR USING THE SOFTWARE. BY DOWNLOADING THE SOFTWARE, OR USING THE SOFTWARE, YOU ARE CONSENTING TO BE BOUND BY THIS AGREEMENT. IF YOU DO NOT AGREE TO ALL OF THE TERMS OF THIS AGREEMENT DO NOT DOWNLOAD AND/OR USE THE SOFTWARE.

The Product is Copyright © 2008-2012 "NOVO TECH SOFTWARE". You may use it and distribute it according to this following License Agreement. If you do not agree with these terms, please remove the Product from your system. By incorporating the Product in your work or distributing the Product to others you implicitly agree to these license terms.

1. DEFINITIONS
 - 1.1. "NovoLIQ" or "Software" refers to "NOVO TECH SOFTWARE"'s program, in each case, supplied by "NOVO TECH SOFTWARE" herewith, and corresponding documentation, associated media, and online or electronic documentation.
 - 1.2. "Trial Version" means a free version of the Software for personal use only, so identified, to be used in one computer only and for a period of 14 days. The Trial Version is fully functional with no restrictions compared to the registered version.
 - 1.3. "Registered Version" means a version which has been bought from "NOVO TECH SOFTWARE".

2. LIMITATION OF LIABILITY

Although all efforts have been undertaken to ensure that this software is of the highest possible quality and that the results obtained are correct, the authors do not warrant the functions contained in the program will meet your requirements or that the operation of the program will be uninterrupted or error-free. The authors are not responsible and assume no liability for any results or any use made thereof, nor for any damages or litigation that may result from the use of the software for any purpose. All results to be verified independently by user.

In no event shall "NOVO TECH SOFTWARE" be liable for any damages (including, without limitation, lost profits, business interruption, or lost information) rising out of 'Authorized Users' use of or inability to use the NovoLIQ, even if "NOVO TECH SOFTWARE" has been advised of the possibility of such damages. In no event will "NOVO TECH SOFTWARE" be liable for loss of data or for indirect, special, incidental, consequential (including lost profit), or other damages based in contract, tort or otherwise. "NOVO TECH SOFTWARE" shall have no liability with respect to the content of the NovoLIQ or any part thereof, including but not limited to errors or omissions contained therein, libel, infringements of rights of publicity, privacy, trademark rights, business interruption, personal injury, loss of privacy, moral rights or the disclosure of confidential information.

3. FOR NovoLIQ TRIAL VERSION
 - (a) The NovoLIQ Trial version may be freely distributed, with exceptions noted below, provided the distribution package is not modified in ANY WAY.
 - (b) The NovoLIQ Trial version may not be distributed inside of any other software package without written permission of "NOVO TECH SOFTWARE".
 - (c) The NovoLIQ Trial version allows the user to publish its work according to the license agreement, but nor "NOVO TECH SOFTWARE" nor any member of the company can be held liable for the content or accuracy of the publication.
 - (d) You may use the accompanying Product free of charge for a period of 14 days for the sole purpose of evaluating the Product. If, after this period, you wish to continue using this Product, you are required to purchase it. In other case, you are required to remove this Product, in its entirety, from all computers on which it is installed.
 - (e) You shall not use, copy, rent, lease, sell, modify, decompile, disassemble, otherwise reverse engineer, or transfer the Product except as provided in this Agreement. Any such unauthorized use shall result in immediate and automatic termination of this Agreement.

4. FOR NovoLIQ REGISTERED VERSION
- (a) You may install and use the Software on a single computer; OR install and store the Software on a storage device, such as a network server, used only to install the Software on your other computers over an internal network, provided you have a license for each separate computer on which the Software is installed and run. A license for the Software may not be shared, installed or used concurrently on different computers.
- (b) The NovoLIQ Registered version allows the registered user to publish its work according to the license agreement, but nor "NOVO TECH SOFTWARE" nor any member of the company can be held liable for the content or accuracy of the publication.
- (c) The NovoLIQ Registered version guaranties to the registered user free updates for a whole version cycle and for 12 (twelve) months.
- (d) You shall not use, copy, rent, lease, sell, modify, decompile, disassemble, otherwise reverse engineer, or transfer the Product except as provided in this Agreement. Any such unauthorized use shall result in immediate and automatic termination of this Agreement.
- (e) Once purchased, the Software may not be return to "NOVO TECH SOFTWARE". The price paid for the Software is not refundable.
- (f) The NovoLIQ license is issued for one computer based on the Hardware ID provided by user. Each transfer of license to another computer, if approved by NOVO TECH SOFTWARE, will be subject to 20 percent charge based on latest NovoLIQ price.

5. TERMS
- This license is effective until terminated. You may terminate it by destroying the program, the documentation and copies thereof. This license will also terminate if you fail to comply with any terms or conditions of this agreement. You agree upon such termination to destroy all copies of the program and of the documentation, or return them to the author.

6. OTHER RIGHTS AND RESTRICTIONS
- All other rights and restrictions not specifically granted in this license are reserved by "NOVO TECH SOFTWARE". If you have any questions regarding this agreement, please write to support@NovoTechSoftware.com

YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT YOU HAVE READ THIS AGREEMENT, UNDERSTAND IT AND AGREE TO BE BOUND BY ITS TERMS AND CONDITIONS.

4. Contents

4.1 Introduction

Evaluation of soil liquefaction hazard is an engineering art requiring judgment and experience in addition to testing and analysis. Important advancements have been made during the past few decades in developing tools to help assessing the potential of soil liquefaction, but still some aspects of the problem remain uncertain. A wide variety of methods from well respected researchers and practitioners have been implemented in NovoLiq to carry out the soil liquefaction analysis. This state-of-the-art software program is also fully [integrated with gINT](#) suite of products. NovoLiq has been designed to cover several world-wide known soil liquefaction analysis methods. As part of our policy for respecting our customers, NovoLiq gives you options for choosing the analysis methods among all available recommended formulas (please see [analysis methods](#) for details).

NovoLiq supports the following field tests for soil liquefaction triggering:

- »Standard Penetration Test (SPT)
- »Becker Denseness Test (BDT)
- »Shear Wave Velocity (Vs)

In addition, users have the option to select their method of calculating the following parameters:

- »Unlimited soil layers
- »Cyclic Resistance Ratio [CRR](#)(See 5.7) (**10** methods)
- »Probability of soil liquefaction (Youd and Noble 2001, Cetin et al. 2004)
- »Depth (overburden) correction factor Cn for SPT (**9** options)
- »Several SPT/BDT/Vs corrections (>**6** options)
- »Direct conversion of Becker Density Test to SPT (**2** methods, including friction effect)
- »[Magnitude Scaling Factor](#)(See 5.4) (**8** options)
- »Fines content correction (**2** options)
- »Depth Reduction Factor [Rd](#)(See 5.3) (**4** options)
- »Relative Density (**5** options)
- »Selecting if a soil layer participates in liquefaction or not (outputs will not be provided for non-liquefiable layers)

Note: Recently there has been technical discussions (by Dr Boulanger and Dr Idriss, 2010) about the accuracy and reliability of Cetin et al (2004) method. Therefore it is recommended that this method is used with cautious and full understanding of its risks. To obtain the full report please contact us.

4.2 Changing Units System

NovoLiq supports both Metric and English (Imperial) units. To switch between these units system, please use  **Tools** ▶ **Preferences** menu and click on **User Interface** tab.

4.3 Data Entry

In-situ Test Data

Three type of field tests are supported in NovoLiq for soil liquefaction analysis: SPT, BDT and Vs. For more information on theoretical background of each method please [read this article](#)(See 5.1). Each dataset obtained from a field test requires additional corrections. You can enter the test data manually, or [import from Text files](#)(See 4.10.1), or import from [gINT database files](#)(See 4.10.2). In any case, the test data will be entered in the table located at the left side of the page. The graph on the right-hand side of the page will be automatically updated based on the data in this table. It will also show the variation of field test results in depth of subsurface soil layers.

Corrections

Press the  **Corrections** button to open the dialog box for editing the correction methods for entered in-situ test data. If test type is SPT/DCPT, the following corrections will be applied on SPT blows (N) in order to obtain N_{60} and $N1_{(60)}$:

- Energy level (C_e): this will adjust the SPT equipment energy to standard 60% energy.
 - Borehole diameter (C_b): size of the borehole affects the SPT blow counts.
 - Sampling method (C_s): specifies whether the sampler has a liner.
- Rod length (C_r): this correction factor depends on length of SPT rods which is approximately equal to the depth of the test. The following formula proposed by Dr. Cetin is used in NovoLiq:

$$C_r = \frac{1}{0.989860781 + \frac{4.31663223}{z^2}} \quad \text{for } z \geq 3$$

- Overburden stress (C_n): this corrections is usually called "depth correction/normalization factor" and depends on overburden stress due to the weight of the soil above the testing depth.

Please choose your favorite method for each correction factor. The following equations are used to calculate the actual correction factor at each depth:

$$C = C_e \cdot C_b \cdot C_s \cdot C_r \quad N_{60} = C \cdot N \quad N1_{(60)} = 60$$

All the above-mentioned factors (including N_{60} and $N1_{(60)}$) are plotted versus depth and presented on the screen.

Plot Data

Click on this link button to see the plot of field tests versus depth.

Subsurface Layers

Use this table (located at the top of the page) for entering Soil Layers data. Data may be entered manually (line by line) or can be [imported from text file](#)(See 4.10.1).

Layer Thickness : represents thickness of the layer.

Soil Type : can be **Clay**, **Silt**, **Sand** or **Gravel** and is only for user's information. This means that NovoLiq does not take any specific action based on the soil type. For example if you select Clay as

the soil type for a layer and you don't consider this layer to be liquefiable (see "Prone to Liquefaction" below), you should remove this layer from liquefaction assessment by de-selecting the last column of the table ("Prone to liquefaction?").

Unit Weight : represents the unit weight of the layer.

Fines Content (%): is the percentage of soil particles passing through sieve #200 (clay and silt).

D₅₀ (mm) : is the particle diameter corresponding to 50 percent passing, in sieve analysis curve.

D₅₀ is only used for [Japanese Bridge Code](#)(See 5.7.1) method.

Prone to Liquefaction : if selected, liquefaction analysis will be carried out for this layer. For example if there is a clay layer in the subject site, which is not essentially prone to liquefaction, you can remove the checkbox for this layer; in this case a gap will appear on the output graphs corresponding to this layer (because NovoLiq just skips the liquefaction assessment for this layer).

Schematic Soil Profile

Is updated based on data entered in soil layers table ([read more](#)(See 4.5)).

Analysis

In this tab, please enter information about the subject site, design earthquake and choose the soil liquefaction analysis methods:

Maximum Earthquake Acceleration (a_{max}) : is the maximum ground acceleration caused by the earthquake.

Earthquake Magnitude: is the magnitude of the earthquake and affects the [MSF](#)(See 5.4) factor.

Cyclic Resistance Ratio (CRR1) method: NovoLiq supports **10** methods for calculating CRR1 (for an earthquake magnitude of 7.5). User may select more than one method of analysis and NovoLiq will provide comparison of all selected methods in outputs. Please click on each method to toggle on/off.

In order to estimate the settlement and lateral spreading of the site during and after the liquefaction, the following information are required:

Distance From Fault : is the distance (km) of the subject site from the fault causing the design earthquake.



Site Topography : site slope condition is one of the most important parameters in estimation of post-liquefaction lateral displacement. [Zhang & Robertson](#)(See 5.8.1) (2004) recommend using $S \geq 0.6\%$ (for gently sloped ground) and $40 \geq L/H \geq 4$ (for free face ground).

Misc.


Structural Load: This feature will consider the effect of the structural load (stress below the footing) on mitigation of liquefaction potential ([more information](#) (See 4.4)).

Ground Improvement: NovoLiq assumes that when ground improvement (stone column or similar) is carried out at a site, soil liquefaction will not be likely to occur within that specific depth range. If this is applicable to your site, enter depth of ground improvement. All settlement and lateral displacement will be ignored within the ground improvement area and soil liquefaction will not be assessed in that depth range (a gap in the output tables and graphs).

Additional Settings: This will show the Analysis Methods tab from the [Preferences page](#) (See 4.11).

4.4 Loading Effect (Optional)

When a structure applies the load on a footing, total and effective stress increases in the soil mass. You can choose to consider the effect of this loading on soil liquefaction assessment ([read more here](#) (See 5.5)). To access this feature, click on  button from the toolbar, or click on **Input** ▶ **Loading Data** menu. The following form appears:



Loading

You can add the stress increase due to foundation loading to the overburden stress which is used for liquefaction assessment.

☒ **Footing Size and Loading**

Width (m):

Length (m):

Stress (kPa):

 Refresh Plot

 Save  Close

Stress Increase (kPa)

Depth (m)

Depth (m)	Stress Increase (kPa)
0	0
1	10
2	25
3	45
4	70
5	95
6	115
7	125
8	128
9	130
10	132
11	133
12	134
13	135
14	136
15	137
16	138
17	139
18	140


If you want to activate this feature, simply click on "Footing Size and Loading" checkbox and enter footing size and the applied stress. If you uncheck this checkbox, effect of loading will not be considered in soil liquefaction analysis.

4.5 Schematic Soil Profile

NovoLiq draws the schematic soil stratigraphy based on the data entered in soil layers table. Each soil type is shown in a specific color to ease differentiating between soil types. You can save this drawing as image file (BMP format), by click on  **Save as image** link at the top-right corner of the page. Please notice that ~~~~~ shading on this schematic soil profile is indicative of groundwater level.

4.6 Seismic Info

Seismic condition of the site can be entered from  **Data ▶ Seismic Info** button from the top toolbar. This data is required for each project and includes the following sections:



Site Condition

Seismic Info.

Earthquake Magnitude M_w :

Distance from fault (km):

Peak Ground Acceleration (PGA): (g)

Cyclic Stress Ratio (CSR): ... {30 points}

Post-liquefaction Topography

☐ Gently Sloped

S (%) =

for Zhang & Robertson method, $0.2 < S(\%) < 3.5$

☒ Free Face

H = (m) L = (m)

for Zhang & Robertson method, $4 < L/H < 40$

For 'free face' topography:

☐ Ignore lateral spreading below $2H$

For both topographies:

☒ Ignore lateral spreading when liquefaction $FoS >$

☐ Ignore lateral spreading below this depth: (m)


☐ Ignore reconsolidation settlement below this depth: (m)

Residual friction angle: (deg) - used for residual shear strength calculation

Seismic Info

This data is directly used during the soil liquefaction assessment analysis and consists of PGA and Magnitude of the design earthquake. Distance from fault is used for lateral spreading estimation based on Youd et al 2002 method.

CSR can be either calculated based on [Simplified Seed \(1974\)](#) (See 5.2) equation or can be entered manually by user. If Shake, Shake 2000 or Pro Shake programs are used for response spectrum analysis and CSR data is available, please select "User-defined data" from the corresponding list and click on ... button. This will show the following dialog:



CSR data can be entered manually in the table or may be imported from text file (comma or tab delimited). When done click on Save button.

Post Liquefaction

Site topography determines the response of the site to post-liquefaction lateral spreading and settlement.

Ignore lateral spreading below 2H: if selected, when site has 'free face' topography, any lateral spreading below the depth of 2H will be ignored.

Ignore lateral spreading when $FoS \geq$: in some methodologies such as [Zhang et al \(2004\)](#), even a factor of safety greater than 1 corresponds to small cyclic shear strains. If selected, user can specify a cut-off for factor of safety beyond which, cyclic shear strain (and lateral spreading) will be ignored.


Ignore lateral spreading below this depth: if selected, any lateral spreading below this depth will be ignored.

Ignore reconsolidation settlement below this depth: if selected, any reconsolidation settlement below this depth will be ignored.

Residual shear strength friction angle: the upper limit for post-liquefaction residual shear strength ratio (S_r/σ'_v) is defined as $\tan(\Phi)$ where Φ is internal friction angle of sand. User can specify this friction angle.

4.7 Working with Charts

We understand that presentation of analysis results is important to our users. Everywhere in Novo Tech Software programs when you double-click on a chart, a new dialog appears containing the chart and its associated data. In the following example, the corresponding dataset is shown on right and can be scrolled horizontally and vertically to view all data.




Each toolbar button provides you with a feature:

-  To change line styles of the plot
-  To change the scale (minimum, maximum and gridlines) of each axis. Please click on small arrow on right side of the icon to open the dropdown menu
-  To toggle between normal/logarithmic scale for horizontal axis
-  To change the chart type
-  To open the advanced settings page for the chart
-  To save the dataset table as Microsoft Excel file
-  To save the chart as text and graphic format
-  To print the chart
-  Opens this help page

4.8 Analysis Results

NovoLiq analysis results is shown when  **Calculate** button is pressed. Results of the soil liquefaction analysis is presented in form of tables and graphs as shown below:




Graphs include the following (click on each chart to open [Chart Presentation Tool](#) (See 4.7)):

- In-situ test (original, modified) vs depth
- Depth reduction factor (R_d) vs depth
- Overburden stress (σ_v , σ'_v) vs depth
- Relative density (D_r) vs depth
- Cyclic Resistance Ratio (CRR) vs depth
- K_σ vs depth
- Factor of safety vs depth
- Probability of liquefaction (PL) vs depth
- Maximum cyclic shear strain (γ_{max}) vs depth
- Volumetric strain vs depth
- Post-liquefaction settlement vs depth

- Post-liquefaction lateral displacement vs depth
- Post-liquefaction residual strength vs depth

Note : For "Zhang & Robertson 2004" and "Faris 2006" methods, details of calculation will be provided at each depth in a tabular format. Three columns under "Lateral Spreading Indexes" present details of the method selected in [Preferences page](#)(See 4.11).



Depth (m)	dZ (cm)	Lateral Spreading Indexes (cm)			Settlement (cm)
		Max. Shear Strain (%)	delta LDI	LDI	Vol. S (%)

Calculation details include the following data:

Rd: depth reduction factor

Overburden Pressure: total and effective soil overburden stress. If [loading effect](#)(See 4.4) is activated in analysis, these overburden stresses include ΔP due to footing loads.

Fines Content: the percentage of silt and clay at this depth (source: soil layers table)

N60: SPT blow counts (N) corrected for sampler, rod length, borehole diameter, energy

Co: correction factor = $C_r.C_b.C_s.C_e$ for SPT

Cn: correction factor; includes depth and water level correction for SPT

N1(60)CS: equivalent clean sand, depth corrected, fines content corrected SPT blow counts

Click on SPT Correction Details link at the bottom-right corner of page to see the detailed calculations for correction factors at each depth.

4.9 Reporting


To prepare the report, when all calculations are completed, click on  **File** ► **Report** menu. All input data and assumptions as well as output tables and graphs will appear on the report pages. The image below describes the toolbar buttons on this page.

4.10 Import / Export

4.10.1 Import from Text Files

In case that there are large number of records for soil layers and/or SPT/BDT/Vs data, user may

import such data from Text files (*.txt) using  button above the tables . The Text file shall have the following format: one record per line and two numbers on each line, separated by comma or tab. The example below shows SPT data in a Text file:



In the above example, the first numbers (0.3048, 0.6096, etc) are depths and the second numbers (19, 19, etc.) are corresponding blow counts per ft (N_{60}). Text files can be easily generated using Windows **Notepad** or by exporting data from spreadsheet applications like MS **Excel** into a text file. For soil layers text file, each line consists of layer *thickness (m)* and its *unit weight (kN/m^3)* separated with comma with a general format similar to above.

4.10.2 Import from gINT Files


NovoLiq supports gINT database files. To import a gINT project file click on  button from the toolbar and click on  **Import from gINT** menu. Then in the "File Open Dialog" choose your gINT file (*.gpj). If your gINT file structure is complicated, you may need to choose corresponding tables and fields from the file, otherwise data will be directly imported and all test-holes containing in-situ test data will be listed. Select desired borehole and press  **Accept Data** button. All corresponding data will be imported to in-situ test table.

 Please read the complete online [documentation on importing gINT files](#) into NovoLiq or open the standard Help file from  **Start** ▶ **Programs** ▶ **Novo Tech Software** ▶ **NovoLiq** ▶ **Documentation** menu.

4.10.3 Export to Graphic Format

Most of the users have already setup their spreadsheets and would rather keep their reports in the same format. To do this, they need to export the analysis results into other popular formats such as Microsoft Excel.

To export the results click on  button from toolbar. A dialog similar to the following screenshot will appear. List of all data tables and charts of the analysis results, will be provided on left and right panels, respectively (see below).



Please select the items you want to export. Then specify the output folder. You can change this folder by clicking on ... button.

Exporting Data Tables

Tables can be saved as Microsoft Excel or may be directly sent to the printer.

Exporting Graphs (Charts)

All charts may be saved with image formats such as BMP, PNG, JPG, etc or to be printed.

4.11 Preferences

This feature is used for setting NovoLiq preferences and is accessible from toolbar and also from  **Tools** ► **Preferences** menu. This page has three tabs:

Validation Criteria

In this tab user can apply constraints on some parameters used during liquefaction assessment.

$0 < Dr < 100$ Some correlations for relative density (Dr) yield invalid results for some SPT blow counts. If this option is selected, NovoLiq keeps the correlated

Dr between 0 and 100 to be consistent with geotechnical concept of relative density.

0.4 < Cn < 1.7 Depth (overburden stress) correction factor for SPT blow counts, is known to be valid when between 0.4 and 1.7. By selecting this option, this rule will be applied to the calculated Cn.

0 < CRR₁ < 0.8 Since most of the curves for Cyclic Resistance Ratio (CRR) are presented for CRR ≤ 0.8, it is recommended to keep calculated CRR1 in this range. If this option is not selected, some formulae may result in very large values or even negative values.

Round BDT blow counts ... Becker Density Test results can be correlated to equivalent SPT blow counts ([see more details here](#) (See 5.7.2)); NovoLiq will round the equivalent SPT blow count to an integer number if this option is selected.

Ignore lateral spreading when N₁₍₆₀₎ > 15 and M < 8 If selected, for "Youd 2002" and "Barlett & Youd 1992" methods only, the post-liquefaction lateral spreading will be considered ZERO when M < 8 and N₁₍₆₀₎ > 15. For more information please read [page 15](#) of this reference:

 [Liquefaction-Induced Lateral Displacement](#)
T. Leslie Youd , June 1993

Analysis Methods

In this tab user can set the default methods for calculating the following parameters:

Magnitude Scaling Factor (MSF) : this factor is used to adjust the CRR for earthquake magnitudes other than 7.5 and could be calculated based on different methods based on user's choice (please [read theory](#) (See 5.4) for more details).

Stress Reduction Factor (Rd) : this factor is used for calculating the CSR calculation and may be chosen from a variety of methods.

Relative Density Method : according to Zhang & Robertson (2004) method, maximum shear strain is calculated based on relative density of soil. Relative density itself, can be estimated from SPT blow count. Correlation method used for calculating relative density can be selected by user.

Lateral Spreading Method : For "Zhang & Robertson 2004" and "Faris 2006" methods, details of calculation will be provided at each depth in a tabular format. Three columns under "Lateral Spreading Indexes" present details of the method selected in this page.

For more options, click on charts:

Soil Index Plots	Safety Factor Plots	Post-Liquefaction Plots	Tabular
Liquefaction Assessment	Post-Liquefaction Parameters		

Table II : Details of lateral spreading (Zhang & Robertson), settlement

Depth (m)	dZ (cm)	Lateral Spreading Indexes (cm)			Settlement (cm)
		Max. Shear Strain (%)	delta LDI	LDI	
					Vol. S (%)

Fines Content Correction : in most liquefaction analysis methods, CRR curve is proposed for clean sand (fines content less than 5 percent). If sand contains more fines content, usually field tests should be corrected before being used for CRR calculations.

These settings will be applied to all analysis.

User Interface

In this tab you can:

- choose the input/output units system for [NovoLiq](#) user interface and reports
- choose user interface language (when available)

5. Theory

5.1 Introduction

Soil liquefaction and related ground failures are commonly associated with large earthquakes. In common usage, liquefaction refers to the loss of strength in saturated, cohesion-less soils due to the build-up of pore water pressures during dynamic loading. Sladen et al. (1985) defined liquefaction as:

"Liquefaction is a phenomenon wherein a mass of soil loses a large percentage of its shear resistance, when subjected to monotonic, cyclic, or shock loading, and flows in a manner resembling a liquid until the shear stresses acting on the mass are as low as the reduced shear resistance"

Liquefaction Assessment

Evaluating the liquefaction resistance of soils is an important step in the engineering design of new structures and the retrofit of existing structures in earthquake-prone regions. The evaluation procedure widely used throughout the world is termed the simplified procedure. This simplified procedure was originally developed by Seed and Idriss (1971) using blow counts from the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) correlated with a parameter representing the seismic loading on the soil, called the Cyclic Stress Ratio (CSR). This parameter is compared to Cyclic Resistance Ratio (CRR) of the soil and if it exceeds CRR, the soil is likely to be liquefied. A safety factor against liquefaction is defined as ratio of CRR to CSR:

$$\text{Safety Factor} = \text{CRR} / \text{CSR} * K_{\sigma} * K_{\alpha}$$

$$\text{CRR} = \text{CRR}_{7.5(\text{ave})} * \text{MSF}$$

Where:

$\text{CRR}_{7.5(\text{ave})}$:calculated cyclic resistance ratio (average of all selected methods at a desired depth) for an earthquake with $M=$

MSF : Magnitude Scaling Factor

K_{σ} : overburden stress correction factor; only applied to the following analysis methods ([see details](#)(See 5.7.1)):

- Vancouver Task Force Report (2007)
- NCEER (1996)
- Cetin et al. (2004)
- Idriss & Boulanger (2004)

each of the above-mentioned methods has its own equation for calculating K_{σ}

K_{α} : ground slope correction (is considered 1.0 in [NovoLiq](#))

Note : This theory manual is just an introduction to methods implemented in **NovoLiq** and does not encompass all the technical knowledge and comments needed for soil liquefaction assessment. Therefore this document shall not be used as a reference for learning how to assess liquefaction potential. Please refer to the related books and other references for more details.

Note: Recently there has been technical discussions (by Dr Boulanger and Dr Idriss, 2010) about the accuracy and reliability of Cetin et al (2004) method. Therefore it is recommended that this method is used with cautious and full understanding of its risks. To obtain the full report please contact us.

5.2 Cyclic Stress Ratio (CSR)

The Cyclic Stress Ratio (CSR), is given by Seed and Idriss (1971) formula:

$$CSR_{7.5} = 0.65 \left(\frac{\sigma_v}{\sigma'_v} \right) \left(\frac{a_{\max}}{g} \right) (r_d)$$

Where:

$CSR_{7.5}$: the cyclic stress ratio with reference to earthquake magnitude of 7.5

σ_v : total overburden pressure at the depth considered

σ'_v : effective overburden pressure at the same depth

$a_{\max}$: maximum horizontal acceleration at the ground surface

g : acceleration due to earth's gravity

r_d : stress reduction factor ([more\(See 5.3\)](#))

5.3 Stress Reduction Factor (rd)

NCEER 1997

$r_d = 1.0 - 0.00765 Z$	for $z \leq 9.15$ m
$r_d = 1.174 - 0.0267 Z$	for $9.15 \text{ m} < z \leq 23$ m
$r_d = 0.744 - 0.008 Z$	for $23 \text{ m} < z \leq 30$ m
$r_d = 0.50$	for $z > 30$ m

Thomas F. Blake (FugroWest Inc., Ventura, California)

$$r_d = \frac{(1.000 - 0.4113z^{0.5} + 0.04052z + 0.001753z^{1.5})}{(1.000 + 0.4177z^{0.5} + 0.05729z - 0.006205z^{1.5} + 0.001210z^2)}$$

Idriss 1999 and Goldesorkhi 1989

$$r_d = \exp[\alpha(z) + \beta(z) \cdot M]$$

$$\alpha(z) = -1.012 - 1.126 \sin\left(\frac{z}{11.73} + 5.133\right)$$

$$\beta(z) = 0.106 + 0.118 \sin\left(\frac{z}{11.28} + 5.142\right)$$

Kayen et al. 1992

$$r_d = 1 - 0.012 Z$$

5.4 Magnitude Scaling Factor (MSF)

Since the CSR and CRR_{7.5} are provided for earthquake magnitude of 7.5, a Magnitude Scaling Factor should be multiplied at CRR_{7.5} to adjust its value for the target earthquake magnitudes. NovoLiq covers the following MSF methods:

Tokimatsu & Seed (1987)	2.5-0.2M
Idriss (NCEER 1997)	(7.5 / M) ^ 2.56

other methods (source : NCEER 1997 report):

Magnitude, M (1)	Seed and Idriss (1982) (2)	Idriss (3)	Ambraseys (1988) (4)	o (1996) (5)	Arang (6)	Andrus and Stokoe (in press) (7)	Youd and Noble (this report) P _L <20% P _L <32% P _L <50% (8) (9) (10)		
5.5	1.43	2.20	2.86	3.00	2.20	2.8	2.86	3.42	4.44
6.0	1.32	1.76	2.20	2.00	1.65	2.1	1.93	2.35	2.92
6.5	1.19	1.44	1.69	1.60	1.40	1.6	1.34	1.66	1.99
7.0	1.08	1.19	1.30	1.25	1.10	1.25	1.00	1.20	1.39
7.5	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00			1.00
8.0	0.94	0.84	0.67	0.75	0.85	0.8?			0.73?
8.5	0.89	0.72	0.44			0.65 ?			0.56?

5.5 Structure Loading Effect

When a structure applies load on a footing, total and effective stress increases in soil mass. NovoLiq can update σ_v and σ_v based on stress increase (ΔP) due to stress below the footing. This overburden stress update will not be applied for calculating $N_{1(60)}$ which is dependent on overburden stress, too. All other parameters which are function of overburden stress will be raised by ΔP ; for example Cyclic Stress Ratio will be calculated as below:

$$CSR_{7.5} = 0.65 \left(\frac{\sigma_v + \Delta P}{\sigma'_v + \Delta P} \right) \left(\frac{a_{\max}}{g} \right) (r_d)$$

Where:

σ_v : total overburden pressure at the depth considered

σ'_v : effective overburden pressure at the same depth

i Stress increase is calculated based on 2:1 slope method: $\Delta P = B.L.P / \{(B+Z).(L+Z)\}$

Where:

B: Foundation width

L: Foundation Length

P: Stress below the foundation (load / length²)

5.6 Probability of Liquefaction

The following method is implemented in **NovoLiq** for estimating the probability of soil liquefaction which is recommended in NCEER Workshop Report:

Youd and Noble, 2001

They used a logistic analysis to analyze case history data from sites where effects of liquefaction were or were not reported following past earthquakes. This analysis yielded the following probabilistic equation:

$$\text{Logit (PL)} = \ln(\text{PL}/(1-\text{PL})) = -7.633 + 2.256 M_w - 0.258 N1_{(60)cs} + 3.095 \ln(\text{CRR})$$

Where PL is the probability that liquefaction occurred, 1 - PL is the probability that liquefaction did not occur, and $N1_{(60)cs}$ is the corrected blow count, including the correction for fines content. Youd and Noble recommend direct application of this equation to calculate the CRR for a given probability of liquefaction occurrence. In lieu of direct application, Youd and Noble define MSF for use with the simplified procedure. These MSF were developed by rotating the simplified base curve to near tangency with the probabilistic curves for PL of 50%, 32%, and 20% and various earthquake magnitudes. These MSF are defined as the ratio of the ordinate of the rotated base curve at the point of near tangency to the ordinate of the unrotated simplified base curve at the same $N1_{(60)cs}$. Because the rotated simplified base curves lie entirely below the given probability curve, CRR calculated with these MSF are characterized by smaller probability of liquefaction occurrence than the associated probabilistic curves.

Thus the MSF listed in [this table](#) (See 5.4), are denoted by PL<50%, PL<32%, and PL<20%,

respectively. Because the derived MSF are less than 1.0, Youd and Noble do not recommend use of MSF for $PL < 32\%$ and $PL < 20\%$ for earthquakes with magnitudes greater than 7.0; Equations for defining the Youd and Noble MSF are listed below:

Probability, $PL < 20\%$ $MSF = 103.81/M^{4.53}$ For $M < 7$

Probability, $PL < 32\%$ $MSF = 103.74/M^{4.33}$ For $M < 7$

Probability, $PL < 50\%$ $MSF = 104.21/M^{4.81}$ For $M < 7.75$

Cetin et al, 2004

A complete explanation of this method is resented in the following paper:

[Standard Penetration Test-Based Probabilistic and Deterministic Assessment of Seismic Soil Liquefaction Potential](#)

① K. Onder Cetin, M.ASCE; Raymond B. Seed, M.ASCE; Armen Der Kiureghian, M.ASCE; Kohji Tokimatsu; Leslie F. Harder Jr., M.ASCE; Robert E. Kayen, M.ASCE ; and Robert E. S. Moss, M.ASCE

Note: Recently there has been technical discussions (by Dr Boulanger and Dr Idriss, 2010) about the accuracy and reliability of Cetin et al (2004) method. Therefore it is recommended that this method is used with cautious and full understanding of its risks. To obtain the full report please contact us.

5.7 Cyclic Resistance Ratio

NovoLiq supports a variety of field tests for evaluation of CRR at each depth. For each test type, a comprehensive explanation of the related theory and formulae are presented at the following articles:


- » CRR methods [based on Standard Penetration Test \(SPT\)](#)(See 5.7.1)
- » CRR methods [based on Becker Density Test \(BDT\)](#)(See 5.7.2)
- » CRR methods [based on Shear Wave Velocity \(Vs\)](#)(See 5.7.3)

5.7.1 SPT-Based CRR


All $CRR_{7.5}$ calculation methods utilized in NovoLiq are described below:

NCEER (1997) and Vancouver Task Force Report (2007)

These two methods are very similar expect that in "Vancouver Task Force Report (2007)" a K_{σ} parameter is multiplied in $CRR_{7.5}$. In these methodologies, $CRR_{7.5}$ is a function of depth corrected SPT blow counts $N_{1(60)}$ for clean sand (fines content less than 5 percent). For sands containing more fines content, more corrections will be applied on $N_{1(60)}$. The $CRR_{7.5}$ curve proposed by these methodologies based on $N_{1(60)}$ is shown below:



In [NovoLiq](#), the equation proposed by Thomas F. Blake (Fugro West Inc., Ventura, California) recommended by NCEER Workshop (1997) for clean sand curve, as shown below is used. This equation is valid for $N_{1(60)cs} \leq 30$



Proposed CRR_{7.5} curve for clean sand (after Thomas F. Blake - NCEER Workshop)

The K_σ factor is calculated from the following formula:

$$K_\sigma = (\sigma'_{vo} / P_a)^{f-1}$$

Where P_a is atmospheric pressure in the chosen units and f depends on relative density (Dr) and given by:

$$f = 1 - 0.005 * Dr \quad \text{for } 40\% < Dr < 80\%$$

$Dr \leq 80\%$ can be estimated using $Dr = 100 * S(N1_{(60)}/46)$

Boulanger and Idriss (2004)

The following equation is proposed by Boulanger and Idriss (2004) for clean sand:

$$CRR_{\sigma=1, \alpha=0} = \exp \left\{ \frac{(N_1)_{60}}{14.1} + \left(\frac{(N_1)_{60}}{126} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{(N_1)_{60}}{23.6} \right)^3 + \left(\frac{(N_1)_{60}}{25.4} \right)^4 - 2.8 \right\}$$

Japanese Bridge Code

This methodology is based on SPT blow counts and particle size distribution of sand.

$$0.05\text{mm} < D_{50} < 0.6\text{mm} \longrightarrow CRR_1 = 0.0882 \sqrt{\frac{N_{1(60)}}{\sigma'_v + 0.7}} + 0.255 \log \frac{0.35}{D_{50}} + R_3$$

$$0.6\text{mm} < D_{50} < 2\text{mm} \longrightarrow CRR_1 = 0.0882 \sqrt{\frac{N_{1(60)}}{\sigma'_v + 0.7}} - 0.05$$

$$F_c < 40\% \Rightarrow R_3 = 0$$

$$F_c \geq 40\% \Rightarrow R_3 = 0.004F_c - 0.16$$

Where:

D_{50} : particle size corresponding to 50 percent passing

F_c : percent fines content passing sieve #200 (clay and silt)

Cetin et al, 2004

A complete explanation of this method is resented in the following paper:


[Standard Penetration Test-Based Probabilistic and Deterministic Assessment of Seismic Soil Liquefaction Potential](#)

① K. Onder Cetin, M.ASCE; Raymond B. Seed, M.ASCE; Armen Der Kiureghian, M.ASCE; Kohji Tokimatsu; Leslie F. Harder Jr., M.ASCE; Robert E. Kayen, M.ASCE ; and Robert E. S. Moss, M.ASCE

Note: Recently there has been technical discussions (by Dr Boulanger and Dr Idriss, 2010) about the accuracy and reliability of Cetin et al (2004) method. Therefore it is recommended that this method is used with cautious and full understanding of its risks. To obtain the full report please contact us.


Other Methods

Some other CRR1 curves proposed by geoscientists are also implemented in **NovoLiq**. These curves are shown in the following graph; Horizontal axis is normalized corrected SPT blow counts ($N1=_{(60)}$).




5.7.2 BDT-Based CRR

The approach for liquefaction assessment based on Becker Density Test (BDT) is essentially assessing the liquefaction potential using equivalent SPT blow counts (N_{60}). Two following methods are covered in NovoLiq for correlating Becker blows to SPT blows:



Harder & Seed (1986)


Alex Sy & Campanella (1993) with considering casing friction (R_s)

When BDT blow counts are converted to equivalent SPT blow counts (N_{60}), the [procedures for Standard Penetration Test](#) (See 5.7.1) will be applied to field test data.

Note: it is assumed that user has already corrected BPT blow counts for 'bounce chamber pressure'. Novo Tech program [NovoBPT](#) can be used for Becker penetration test processing and correlations.

5.7.3 Vs-Based CRR

The $CRR_{7.5}$ based on V_s is calculated based on the following methodology (source: NCEER Workshop 1997 report):

Recommended Method by NCEER, 1997

Robertson et al. (1992) proposed a stress-based liquefaction assessment procedure using field performance data from sites in the Imperial Valley, California. These investigators normalized V_s by:

$$V_{s1} = V_s (P_a / \sigma'_{vo})^{0.25}$$

where P_a is a reference stress of 100 kPa, approximately atmospheric pressure, and σ'_{vo} is effective overburden pressure in kPa. **Robertson et al., 1992** suggested the liquefaction resistance bound (CRR curve) for magnitude 7.5 earthquakes, plotted in the following figure along with several sites

where liquefaction did or did not occur. Subsequent liquefaction resistance boundaries proposed by **Kayen et al., 1992** and **Lodge, 1994** for magnitude 7 earthquake are also shown.




Figure 1 : Proposed cyclic stress ratio based on shear wave velocity.

The relationship proposed by **Lodge (1994)** provides a conservative lower boundary for liquefaction case histories with V_{S1} less than about 200 m/s. The relationship by **Robertson et al. (1992)** is the least conservative of the three. Professor **Ricardo Dobry** suggested a relationship between cyclic resistance ratio and V_{S1} for constant average cyclic shear strain; This formula supports a CRR bound passing through the origin and provides a rational approach for extrapolating beyond the limits of the available field performance data, at least for lower values of V_{S1} ($V_{S1} \leq 125$ m/s).

For higher values of V_{S1} , **Andrus and Stokoe** reason that the CRR bound should become asymptotic to some limiting V_{S1} value. This limit is caused by the tendency of dense granular soils to exhibit dilative behavior at large strains. Thus, equation is modified to:

$$\tau_{av} / \sigma'_{vo} = \text{CRR} = a(V_{S1}/100)^2 + b/(V_{S1c} - V_{S1}) - b/V_{S1c}$$

where V_{S1c} is the critical value of V_{S1} which separates contractive and dilative behavior, and a and b are curve fitting parameters. Using the relationship between V_{S1} and CRR expressed by this equation, **Andrus and Stokoe** drew curves to separate data from sites where liquefaction effects were and were not observed. Best fit values for the constants a and b were 0.03 and 0.9, respectively, for magnitude 7.5 earthquakes. **Andrus and Stokoe** also determined the following best-fit values for V_{S1c} :

$$\begin{aligned} V_{S1c} &= 220 \text{ m/s for sands and gravels with fines contents less than } \mathbf{5\%} \\ V_{S1c} &= 210 \text{ m/s for sands and gravels with fines contents of about } \mathbf{20\%} \\ V_{S1c} &= 200 \text{ m/s for sands and gravels with fines contents greater than } \mathbf{35\%} \end{aligned}$$

Figure 2 presents CRR boundaries recommended by **Andrus and Stokoe** for magnitude 7.5 earthquakes and un-cemented Holocene-age soils with various fines contents. Although these boundaries pass through the origin, natural alluvial sandy soils with shallow water tables rarely have corrected shear wave velocities less than 100 m/s, even near ground surface. For a V_{S1} of 100 m/s and a magnitude 7.5 earthquake, the calculated CRR is 0.03

This minimal CRR value is generally consistent with intercept CRR values for the CPT and SPT procedures.




Figure 2 : Proposed cyclic stress ratio curves for different fines content (FC).

5.8 Post-Liquefaction

5.8.1 Lateral Displacements

The following method of estimating the post-liquefaction lateral displacements is incorporated into NovoLiq:

Zhang, Robertson and Brachman, 2004

This method is essentially based on estimating maximum cyclic shear strain of each layer during and after liquefaction which is estimated from safety factor against soil liquefaction (FS) and relative density of soil (D_r), when D_r itself can be correlated from SPT or equivalent SPT blow counts.




Figure 1 : maximum cyclic shear strain for post liquefaction lateral displacement proposed by Zhang, Robertson and Brachman, 2004.

Then, the Lateral Displacement Index (LDI) is calculated from the following equation:

$$LDI = \int_0^{Z_{max}} \gamma_{max} dz$$

Where γ_{max} is the maximum shear strain in each layer induced by cyclic load, [and dz is depth interval at each test](#) (See 5.8.3). Based on the topography of the site (**Gently Sloped / Free Face**) the lateral displacement is then estimated from LDI. The complete procedure proposed by the authors, is available in the following paper from our website:

[Estimating Liquefaction-Induced Lateral Displacements Using the Standard Penetration](#)

[① Test or Cone Penetration Test](#)

G. Zhang; P. K. Robertson; and R. W. I. Brachmann

Faris, 2006

This method is similar to Zhang and Robertson method; but instead a Displacement Potential Index (DPI) is calculated based on [Cyclic Stress Ratio \(CSR\)](#) (See 5.2) and $N1(60)_{cs}$:

$$DPI = \int_0^{Z_{max}} \gamma_{max} dz$$

Where γ_{max} is the maximum shear strain in each layer induced by cyclic load, [and dz is depth interval at each test](#) (See 5.8.3). Based on the topography of the site (**Gently Sloped / Free Face**)

the lateral displacement is then estimated from DPI. The procedure is available for download from our website:

[Guidelines on Foundation Loading and Deformation Due to Liquefaction Induced Lateral](#)

[i Spreading](#)

DOT (February 2011)

Note: Please note that for both Faris 2006 and Zhang 2004 methods, you can choose to ignore lateral spread when factor of safety is greater than a certain number. For more information please read [this article](#) (See 4.6).

Youd 2002


The following equations are used for estimation of lateral displacements:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gently Sloped: } \log D_H &= -16.213 + 1.532M - 1.406 \log R^* - 0.012R \\ &+ 0.338 \log S + 0.540 \log T_{15} + 3.413 \log(100 - F_{15}) - 0.795 \log(D50_{15} + 0.1 \text{ mm}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Free Face: } \log D_H &= -16.713 + 1.532M - 1.406 \log R^* - 0.012R \\ &+ 0.592 \log W + 0.540 \log T_{15} + 3.413 \log(100 - F_{15}) - 0.795 \log(D50_{15} + 0.1 \text{ mm}) \end{aligned}$$

Where D_H is the estimated lateral ground displacement in meters; M is the moment magnitude of the earthquake, R^* is the nearest horizontal or map distance from the site to the seismic energy source in kilometers, T_{15} is the cumulative thickness of saturated granular layers with corrected blow counts $N1(60)$ less than 15, in meters, F_{15} is the average fines content for granular materials included within T_{15} in percent, $D50_{15}$ is the average mean grain size for granular materials within T_{15} in millimeters, S is the ground slope in percent, and W is the free-face ratio defined as the height of the free face divided by the distance from the base of the free face to the point in question in percent. It is recommended that R be estimated from the following graph based on PGA and M :

$$R^* = R + 10^{(0.89M - 5.64)}$$



The complete paper can be downloaded at:

[Revised Multilinear Regression Equations for Prediction of Lateral Spread Displacement](#)

T. Leslie Youd, Corbett M. Hansen, Steven F. Bartlett

Hamada et al 1986

Hamada compiled lateral spread and borehole data from Niigata and Noshiro, Japan and developed the following preliminary empirical equation for estimating lateral spread displacement:

$$D = 0.75H^{1/2}\theta^{1/3}$$

D is predicted lateral displacement, H is the thickness of the liquefied layer and θ is ground slope.

5.8.2 Reconsolidation Settlement

Post-liquefaction settlements occur during and after earthquake shaking. For level ground conditions the amount can be computed from the volumetric reconsolidation strains induced as the excess pore water pressures dissipate. Based on field experience during past earthquakes, the amount of volumetric strain depends on penetration resistance and the CSR applied by the design earthquake. Curves proposed by Ishihara and Yoshimi (1992) are shown in Figure 1 and indicate that volumetric reconsolidation strains can range between about 4.5% for very loose sand to 1% for very dense sands. These curves are recommended for estimating post-liquefaction settlements.






Figure 1 : Recommended relationships for volumetric reconsolidation strains as a function of maximum shear strain and relative density (Ishihara & Yoshimi 1992)

5.8.3 How depth interval is calculated?

NovoLiq calculates depth interval dz during calculation of post-liquefaction displacements.

In general $dz = z_b - z_t$ where z_t and z_b are the depth to the top and bottom of the depth interval between the subject test and previous and next tests. The following explains how dz is calculated for each case:



1. If a test is above the water level, $dz = 0$.
2. In general, the depth interval for tests within the same layer is calculated by calculating the average depth between the depths of two subsequent tests. For example for $N=3$ at $z=10.5$ ft, $z_b = (10.5+15.5)/2=13$ ft. However, z_t for this test is the top of this layer which is 9 ft.
3. If the test is the first test in a layer, z_t is equal to top of the layer. For example for $N=9$ at $z=1.5$ ft, z_t would be zero. For $N=3$ at 10.5 ft, z_t is 9 ft.
4. If the test is the last test in a layer, z_b is equal to bottom of the layer. For example for $N=6$ at $z=15.5$ ft, z_b would be 16 ft.
5. If water level is present within a layer, z_t for tests within that layer will depend on depth of water level. For example for $N=4$ at $z=6$ ft, $z_t=6$ ft and $z_b=9$ ft.
6. For first test below the ground water level, Z_t will be water level.
7. If a test depth is exactly on the interface between to layers (e.g. $N=4$ at 6 ft), then the test is considered to be the first test in the lower layer.
8. If there is no field test in a layer, no dz will be considered for that layer (remember dz is calculated at each test

depth).

Note:

dz , Z_t and Z_b are given on the 'Post-Liquefaction Parameters' tab in the output.

6. Online

6.1 Novo Tech Software website

<http://www.novotechsoftware.com>

6.2 Our other programs

<http://www.novotechsoftware.com/products/>

6.3 Updates

<http://www.novotechsoftware.com/updatelogs/novoLIQ.txt>

6.4 Contact us

<http://www.novolab2.com/web forms/contact.php>